



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/484,548 01/18/2000		01/18/2000	Jules Gauthier	FMT1P025	9815	
28802	7590	06/03/2003				
AFX INC.				EXAMINER		
47929 FREMONT BLVD FREMONT, CA 94538				ISABELLA,	ISABELLA, DAVID J	
		٠		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3738	H	
				DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	'	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•		GAUTHIER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	09/484,548					
	Office Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAII INC DATE of this communication and	DAVID J ISABELLA	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 in	<u>March 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>81-118</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>112-117</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ຸ	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>81-111,118</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment	(s)	,					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 17				

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 83,86 and 111 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the original specification for the "emission surface" as is now set forth in the claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 81-118 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 81 is indefinite. The claim attempts to define the ablation element as being encased within the ablation device. The claim fails to set forth structure that defines the device. Therefore, there is no structure in which the element can be encased.

Claim 83, the claim attempts to define the emission surface. Again the claim fails to provide the nexus between the elements of the claim and the claimed feature. The

Art Unit: 3738

assembly comprises an ablation device that is devoid of structure. It is not clear what element of the assembly is defined to have the outer emission surface.

Claim 85 appears to be redundant of claim 81.

Claim 86, see rejection to claim 83 supra.

Claim 111, see rejection to claim 81 supra.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 81-111,118 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b,e) as being anticipated by McGee, et al. and Cox, et al.

Art Unit: 3738

McGee, et al (102b) discloses an energy delivery device comprising a flexible ablation assembly including an ablation device and means for directionally controlling the emitted energy.

Claim 82, the energy is sufficient to ablate biological tissue (see column 2, lines 52+).

Claim 83, see outer ablation surface 40.

Claim 84, see column 2, lines 48+.

Claims 85-89, see figure 6.

Claims 102-110 see figures 7 and 14.

Cox et al discloses (102e) discloses an energy delivery device comprising a flexible ablation assembly including an ablation device and means for directionally controlling the emitted energy.

Claim 82, the energy is sufficient to ablate biological tissue (see column 2)

Claim 83, see outer ablation surface 300.

Claim 84, see column 3.

Claims 85-92, see figures 37-42.

Claims 93-101, see columns 3 and 4.

Claims 102-110 see figures 37-42.

Claims 111 and 118, see rejection to claim 81 supra.

Art Unit: 3738

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 13, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are not commensurate with the scope of the the claims. The ablation assembly of Cox and McGee et al are encased as broadly claimed by applicant. The claims fail to set forth structure to support the function of no direct contact with target tissue. Moreover, the claims, as worded, do not preclude a probe that is in direct contact with the target tissue.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/484,548 Page 6

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J ISABELLA whose telephone number is 703-308-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-305-3580 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

DAVID NSABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

dji June 1, 2003